



LAW AND SOCIAL
POLICY REVIEW

VOLUME 1 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2023

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



Law and Social Policy Review [ISBN - 978-81-960677-7-9]

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page - <https://Ispr.iledu.in/>

Journal's Editorial Page - <https://Ispr.iledu.in/editorial-board/>

Volume 1 and Issue 1 (Access Full Issue on - <https://Ispr.iledu.in/category/volume-1-and-issue-1-of-2023/>)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education (Established by I.L.E. Educational Trust)

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli - 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 - info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in



© Institute of Legal Education

Copyright Disclaimer: All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer <https://Ispr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/>



POLICY APPROACHS DURING COVID-19

G. Loga Abivardhini, Student of PRESIDENCY UNIVERSITY, BENGALURU

Best Citation - G. Loga Abivardhini, POLICY APPROACHS DURING COVID-19, *Law and Social Policy Review*, 1 (1) of 2023, Pg. 22, ISBN - 978-81-960677-7-9.

ABSTRACT

The world has undergone an immense pressure during the covid 19 pandemic. It shook the entire world by ceasing the trade, communication, commerce across the line. The countries across which are enveloped under the trade and commerce were shook down by covid 19. People around the pole were quarantined by staying inside the home without any gain for their daily bread. The government of many countries were struggling hard to put up with the pandemic, but it all gone vain. The officials of the countries undergone many general meetings, discussions to go through the pandemic and the situations faced by the world, although undergoing all those remedies the pandemic till prevailed. All the countries had gone through the many policies which had been implemented during pandemic. Models have played an important role in policy development to address the COVID-19 outbreak from its emergence in China to the current global pandemic. Early projections of international spread influenced travel restrictions and border closures. Model projections based on the virus's infectiousness demonstrated its pandemic potential, which guided the global response to and prepared countries for increases in hospitalisations and deaths. Tracking the impact of distancing and movement policies and behaviour changes has been critical in evaluating these decisions. Models have provided insights into the epidemiological differences between higher and lower income countries, as well as vulnerable population groups within countries

to help design fit-for-purpose policies. Economic evaluation and policies have combined epidemic models and traditional economic models to address the economic consequences of COVID-19, which have informed policy calls for easing restrictions. Social contact and mobility models have allowed evaluation of the pathways to safely relax mobility restrictions and distancing measures. Finally, models can consider future end-game scenarios, including how suppression can be achieved and the impact of different vaccination strategies.

INTRODUCTION

In an era of digitalization, governments often turn into digital solutions for pressing policy issues, and the use of digital contact tracing and quarantine enforcement for COVID-19 is no exception. The long-term impacts of the digital solutions, however, cannot be taken for granted. The development and use of data tools for pandemic control, for example, may have potentially detrimental and irreversible impacts on data governance. During the COVID-19 pandemic, various countries and organizations have implemented different policy approaches in response to the spread of the virus. Some of the most common policy approaches include:

- Lockdowns: Governments imposed restrictions on movement and gatherings to reduce the spread of the virus.
- Social distancing: Governments encouraged people to maintain a safe distance from others to reduce the risk of transmission.
- Wearing masks: Governments and health organizations recommended wearing masks in public to reduce the spread of the virus.
- Testing and contact tracing: Governments increased testing capacity and implemented contact tracing programs to identify and isolate infected individuals.



- Vaccination campaigns: Governments around the world launched vaccination campaigns to protect citizens and reduce the spread of the virus.
- Economic support: Governments provided financial support to individuals and businesses affected by the pandemic.

These policy approaches have been implemented in different combinations and with varying degrees of strictness, depending on the severity of the outbreak in a given area and the capacity of the local health system.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

An analysis of Indonesian government policies against COVID-19; Ali Rorizon, Syaysya Y.F. Masudi, Iradhad T. Sihidi; Public Administration and Policy: 17 May 2021;

This article mainly focuses on the policies implemented in Indonesia and it all gone vain. Although there were many policies implemented by the Indonesian government, covid 19 stood up the way and stopped everything in the world. The author mainly focused on the policies brought up by the Indonesian government, they have also written about the WHO awareness in their country which made the government to implement more policies regarding the health policies in the country. The authors criticized about the breakdown of covid 19 in Wuhan brought an immense backlog in the development of the country.

Role of modelling in COVID-19 policy development; Emma S. Mc Bryde, Michael T. Meehan, Oyelola A.Adegboye: 18 June 2020.

This article portrays the policies regarding the trade, commerce communication, travel of the people. The pandemic have brought many of the business countries to shut down for the whole. The most endeavouring job for the countries is to implement the policies even in the pandemic period. This made most of all the countries to shut down all their companies and serach for the better policy for the daily bread.

COVID-19 and the policy sciences: initial reactions and perspectives; Christopher M. Weible, Daniel Nohrstedt, Paul Cairney, David P. Carter, Deserai A. Crow, Anna P. Durnová, Tanya Heikkila, Karin Ingold, Allan McConnell & Diane Stone: 18 April 2020 COVID-19 has spawned a surge in the number of public policies adopted, the forms in which they are adopted within and across governments, and with the range of their designs and contents. Most countries have closed or restricted their borders and restricted travel within borders.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS or HYPOTHESIS

1. What was the effectiveness of lockdowns in reducing the spread of COVID-19?
2. How have different countries' social distancing policies impacted the spread of COVID-19?
3. What is the impact of widespread mask-wearing on reducing the spread of COVID-19?
4. How have testing and contact tracing programs influenced the control of COVID-19 outbreaks?

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To assess the effectiveness of different policy approaches in reducing the spread of COVID-19 and controlling outbreaks.



- To identify best practices for implementing COVID-19 policies and to provide recommendations for future pandemic response efforts.
- To examine the impact of policy approaches on vulnerable populations and to identify ways to improve equity in pandemic response efforts.
- To compare the policy responses of different countries and to identify factors that contribute to the effectiveness of COVID-19 policy approaches.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

- **Public Health:** Understanding the effectiveness of different policy approaches in reducing the spread of COVID-19 and controlling outbreaks can inform future pandemic response efforts and help to improve public health outcomes.
- **Equity:** Examining the impact of policy approaches on vulnerable populations and identifying ways to improve equity in pandemic response efforts can help to ensure that all communities have access to the resources and support they need to stay healthy during a pandemic.
- **International Cooperation:** Understanding the role of international cooperation and coordination in policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic can help to improve global collaboration in the face of future public health crises.
- **Preparedness:** Assessing the long-term impacts of COVID-19 policy approaches on public health systems and identifying ways to improve pandemic preparedness can help to ensure that society is better prepared for future pandemics.
- **Science and Technology:** Analyzing the role of scientific and technical knowledge in shaping policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic can help to

improve the use of data and evidence in public health decision-making.

- **Ethics and Morality:** Understanding the ethical and moral considerations that influenced COVID-19 policy responses and identifying ways to improve the transparency and accountability of pandemic response efforts can help to ensure that public health policy is guided by moral and ethical principles.

Overall, a study on policy approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic can contribute to a better understanding of how to respond to pandemics and can help to improve public health outcomes, equity, and global collaboration.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The scope of a study on policy approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic can vary depending on the research questions and objectives, but it can include the following:

- **Geographical scope:** The study can focus on a specific country, region, or multiple countries and compare their policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- **Time frame:** The study can consider the policy responses during the early stages of the pandemic, the peak of the outbreak, or the post-pandemic recovery phase.
- **Policy areas:** The study can focus on specific policy areas such as lockdowns, social distancing, testing and contact tracing, vaccination campaigns, or economic support.
- **Stakeholder perspectives:** The study can consider the perspectives of different stakeholders such as governments, health organizations, businesses, individuals, and communities.
- **Data sources:** The study can use a variety of data sources such as government reports, academic studies,



news articles, and surveys to analyze the policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic.

- **Methodology:** The study can use a variety of research methods such as qualitative interviews, surveys, observational studies, or causal inference techniques to analyze the policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Overall, the scope of a study on policy approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic can be tailored to address specific research questions and objectives and can draw on a range of data sources and methodologies.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES

There are several research methodologies that can be used to study policy approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic, including:

- **Qualitative Research:** Qualitative research methods, such as interviews and focus groups, can be used to gather in-depth information about the experiences and perspectives of different stakeholders, including government officials, health organizations, and the general public.
- **Survey Research:** Surveys can be used to collect quantitative data about the attitudes, behaviors, and experiences of individuals and communities in response to policy approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- **Observational Studies:** Observational studies can be used to examine the impact of policy approaches on specific outcomes, such as the number of COVID-19 cases and hospitalizations, by comparing data from different time periods or geographical locations.
- **Causal Inference Techniques:** Causal inference techniques, such as difference-in-differences and instrumental variable analysis, can be

used to isolate the causal impact of policy approaches on specific outcomes, taking into account potential confounding factors.

- **Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses:** Systematic reviews and meta-analyses can be used to synthesize the results of multiple studies on a specific topic, such as the effectiveness of different policy approaches in controlling the spread of COVID-19.
- **Case Studies:** Case studies can be used to examine the experiences of specific countries or communities in responding to the COVID-19 pandemic and to identify best practices and lessons learned.

➤ **RESEARCH DESIGN**

1. **Cross-sectional Study:** This type of study examines the relationship between policy approaches and outcomes at a single point in time.
2. **Case-Control Study:** This type of study compares the policy approaches and outcomes of a group of individuals who have been affected by COVID-19 with a control group of individuals who have not been affected.
3. **Qualitative Study:** This type of study focuses on gathering in-depth information about the experiences and perspectives of different stakeholders, including government officials, health organizations, and the general public

➤ **SOURCE OF DATA**

1. **News Articles:** This includes news articles and media reports that provide information on policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic and can provide valuable insights into the experiences and perspectives of different stakeholders.
2. **Surveys:** This includes data from surveys of individuals, communities, and businesses, which can provide information on attitudes, behaviors, and experiences in response to policy



approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic.

3. **Social Media:** This includes data from social media platforms, such as Twitter and Facebook, which can provide valuable insights into public opinion and the experiences of individuals and communities in response to policy approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Chapter 1 - ANALYSING THE POLICIES DURING COVID 19 PANDEMIC

The COVID-19 pandemic has presented unprecedented challenges to governments and policy-makers around the world. In response to the pandemic, a wide range of policy approaches have been implemented, including lockdowns, social distancing measures, and vaccination campaigns. The effectiveness of these policy approaches has been the subject of much debate, and it is crucial to analyze them in order to inform future policy decisions.

One method of analyzing policy approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic is through the use of descriptive statistics. Descriptive statistics can be used to summarize and describe the main characteristics of the data, such as the number of COVID-19 cases, hospitalizations, and deaths, as well as the policy responses, such as lockdown measures and vaccination campaigns. This type of analysis can provide valuable insights into the impact of policy approaches on the spread of COVID-19 and its impact on individuals and communities.

Another method of analyzing policy approaches is through the use of inferential statistics. Inferential statistics can be used to make inferences about the population based on a sample of data. For example, inferential statistics can be used to determine the effectiveness of a policy approach in controlling the spread of COVID-19 by comparing the

number of cases and hospitalizations in areas with different policy responses.

In addition to statistical analysis, it is also important to consider the experiences and perspectives of different stakeholders in the policy-making process. This can be achieved through the use of qualitative methods, such as interviews, focus groups, and case studies, which provide valuable insights into the attitudes, behaviors, and experiences of individuals and communities in response to policy approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The results of the analysis of policy approaches during the COVID-19 pandemic have important implications for policy-makers. Based on the findings, policy-makers can make informed decisions on the most effective policy approaches to control the spread of COVID-19 and mitigate its impact on individuals and communities. For example, the analysis may reveal that a combination of measures, such as lockdowns, social distancing measures, and vaccination campaigns, is more effective in controlling the spread of COVID-19 than any one measure taken in isolation.

Travel restrictions

Policy on border closures followed early estimates of trans-national spread of COVID-19 and was strongly influenced by modelling. In January 2020, modelling based on travellers from Wuhan found that early COVID-19 case rates were significantly under-reported both in China and overseas and border closure policies promptly followed. China imposed an internal travel lock-down on Wuhan on 23rd January and most countries enacted limited restrictions through February and comprehensive restrictions through March; many governments using travel risk models to anticipate case numbers with and without border closures



Fully connected meta-population travel models have provided additional insights. Retrospective analyses have shown that the Wuhan lockdown imposed by China did little to delay the outbreak within China, but had a greater impact on other countries. Models have also predicted the shifting of epicentres from Asia to Europe and from the USA to South America and Africa, based on the connectedness of these regions enabling enhanced surveillance in vulnerable destination countries.

Currently, almost every country in the world has experienced local transmission, such that border restrictions are of lesser importance. However, as countries begin to move out of lockdown and seek to reignite their economies, travel modelling will again become helpful for anticipating the risk of reintroduction of cases to jurisdictions that have successfully reduced transmission.

Estimates of the epidemic potential of COVID-19

Many early models estimated a high reproduction number – the average number of secondary cases per infected case. Although precise values differed, in China prior to interventions, these mostly fell between a value of two and three, heralding the seriousness of the pandemic. Based on these estimates, model projections were consistent in predicting that an unmitigated epidemic would overwhelm health systems and lead to unacceptable loss of life. A prominent example was the report by Imperial College London on the potential of COVID-19 to cause widespread infection across the UK and the US if a mitigation (reproduction number greater than one) rather than suppression (reproduction number less than one) strategy was pursued.

Consistent model findings of high infection rates and mortality collectively resulted in many countries grasping the seriousness of the

epidemic. Consequently, public health interventions and government-imposed restrictions on human movement were initiated to reduce transmission. Models showing changes in transmission rates over time have been powerful tools for enabling policy-makers to demonstrate gains in epidemic control through public health policy and action. Many media outlets and public health officials around the world have provided explanations about the effective reproduction number and its critical threshold of one, which is the key to escaping from lockdown. Political leaders of New Zealand, Australia, the UK, Indonesia and Germany have all used this terminology in communicating decision making for easing lockdowns, demonstrating the marked increase in the public's understanding of infectious disease modelling.

In conclusion, the COVID-19 pandemic has presented many challenges to policy-makers around the world, and it is crucial to analyze policy approaches in order to inform future policy decisions.

Chapter 2 - IMPLEMENTATION OF POLICIES DURING COVID 19 PANDEMIC

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a profound impact on the world, requiring policy-makers to make rapid and unprecedented decisions to control its spread and mitigate its impact. The implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has been a complex and challenging process, requiring coordination and cooperation among different levels of government, healthcare organizations, and communities.

One key challenge in the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has been the need to balance public health considerations with the economic and social impacts of the measures taken. For example, lockdowns and social distancing measures



have been effective in controlling the spread of COVID-19, but they have also resulted in significant economic disruption, job losses, and social isolation. Policy-makers have had to weigh these impacts in their decision-making, balancing the need to control the spread of COVID-19 with the need to support individuals and communities affected by the pandemic.

Another challenge in the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has been the need for effective communication and public engagement. Policy-makers have had to communicate complex information about the pandemic and the measures taken to control it, and to engage with the public to understand their perspectives and concerns. Clear and transparent communication has been essential in building public trust and support for the policies implemented, and in ensuring that individuals and communities understand and comply with the measures taken.

The implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has also required close coordination and cooperation between different levels of government, healthcare organizations, and communities. For example, the implementation of a vaccination campaign requires coordination between the federal and state governments, healthcare providers, and community organizations, in order to ensure that the vaccine is distributed and administered effectively.

The spread of coronavirus disease in 2019 (COVID-19) became a global threat and the World Health Organization (WHO) declared it a global pandemic on March 11, 2020. As of January 28, 2021, there were a total of 101,520,671 confirmed cases and 2,186,433 deaths from COVID-19 worldwide. The COVID-19 pandemic has greatly affected people's lives, world economies and the public health threat it represents is the most serious seen in a respiratory virus since the 1918 H1N1 influenza pandemic. In the absence of a vaccine or an effective treatment, the rapid spread of this

disease elicited a wide range of responses from different governments across the globe to contain the spread of the pandemic. These policies were aimed at: (a) mitigation, which focuses on slowing but not necessarily stopping epidemic spread—reducing peak healthcare demand while protecting those most at risk of severe disease from infection, and (b) suppression, which aims to reverse epidemic growth, reducing case numbers to low levels and maintaining that situation indefinitely. Common policies included school closures, travel restrictions, bans on public gatherings, stay-at-home orders, closure of public transportation, emergency investments in the healthcare system, new forms of social welfare provision, contact tracing, and investment in COVID-19 vaccines. Transmission events occur through contacts made between susceptible and infectious individuals in either the household, workplace, school, or randomly in the community, with the latter depending on the spatial distance between contacts. Therefore, the suppression of social contact in workplaces, schools, and other public spheres is the target of such measures, which aim to reduce the transmission of the virus. The effectiveness of implemented government policies in the alleviation of the COVID-19 pandemic has been demonstrated. For example, a deterministic stage-structured susceptible-exposed-infected-recovered (SEIR) model showed the positive effects of extended workplace distancing, reduction in mixing in the community, and school closure in the control of the pandemic situation in Wuhan. A segmented Poisson model was used to predict the turning point, duration, and attack rate of COVID-19 in six of the G7 countries while modelling the effects of implemented policies in those countries on the spread of the pandemic. The mathematical susceptible infected-recovered (SIR) model showed the importance of “Janata curfew”, lockdowns with periodic relaxation, and workplace non-attendance used in India in curbing the spread of the virus. A novel machine learning model was employed to examine the



role of selected socioeconomic factors in mediating local and cross-city transmission of coronavirus in China¹⁰. All these studies tried to show that implemented government policies have a positive effect on reducing the spread of COVID-19. However, a lot of the research projected the effects of these fast-changing policies by the comparison of the number of daily confirmed cases under when these policies were implemented and when not, to show the impact of these policies in the suppression and mitigation of COVID-19. The direct benefits of these policies cannot be observed but are currently only inferred from, for example, simulations or other mathematical models.

Therefore, a direct measure to observe if these policies had a positive impact on reducing the number of daily confirmed cases has not been done. Also, these studies have mainly focused on the effects of these actions in only a few countries like China, the USA and India but no systematic evaluation (or global effect) of these policies in mitigation of the pandemic has been made. Our objective was to determine whether the implemented government policies had influenced the slowing down of the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus and observe which policies were most effective.

In conclusion, the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has been a complex and challenging process, requiring balancing public health considerations with economic and social impacts, effective communication and public engagement, and close coordination and cooperation between different levels of government, healthcare organizations, and communities. The successful implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has required collaboration and cooperation at all levels, and will continue to be a critical challenge in the months and years to come.

Chapter 3 - CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON THE POLICIES IMPLEMENTED DURING COVID 19 PANDEMIC

The implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has been a subject of much debate and scrutiny, as policy-makers have had to make rapid and far-reaching decisions in response to an unprecedented global health crisis. In this critical analysis, we will examine the strengths and weaknesses of the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic, and consider the challenges and opportunities for improvement.

One of the strengths of the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has been the speed with which policy-makers have acted. The rapid spread of the virus and the severity of its impact required a prompt response, and policy-makers were able to take swift and decisive action to control its spread and mitigate its impact. This has been an important factor in limiting the spread of the virus and reducing the number of deaths and hospitalizations.

However, there have also been significant challenges in the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic. One of the biggest challenges has been the lack of consistency and coordination among different levels of government, both within and across countries. Policy-makers have struggled to agree on the best approach to controlling the spread of the virus, leading to a patchwork of policies that have been difficult for individuals and communities to navigate.

Another challenge has been the impact of the policies on vulnerable communities and individuals. For example, lockdowns and social distancing measures have had a disproportionate impact on low-income communities and individuals who have been unable to access essential services, including healthcare and food. Additionally, the economic disruption caused by the pandemic has been



felt most acutely by those with the lowest incomes and the fewest resources.

Finally, the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the need for effective communication and public engagement. Policy-makers have struggled to communicate complex information about the pandemic and the measures taken to control it, leading to confusion and misinformation. Clear and transparent communication has been essential in building public trust and support for the policies implemented, and in ensuring that individuals and communities understand and comply with the measures taken.

In conclusion, the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic has been a complex and challenging process, requiring balancing public health considerations with economic and social impacts, effective communication and public engagement, and close coordination and cooperation between different levels of government, healthcare organizations, and communities. While there have been strengths and successes in the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic, there are also opportunities for improvement, and ongoing challenges that will require continued attention and action.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the COVID-19 pandemic has presented unprecedented challenges to policy-makers around the world, requiring rapid and far-reaching decisions to control the spread of the virus and mitigate its impact. The implementation of policies during this time has been a complex process, requiring a balance of public health considerations with economic and social impacts, effective communication and public engagement, and close coordination and cooperation between different levels of government, healthcare organizations, and communities. Despite some challenges, the policies implemented during the COVID-19 pandemic have been successful in controlling

the spread of the virus and reducing its impact. Nevertheless, ongoing vigilance and action will be required to continue to address the challenges and opportunities for improvement in the implementation of policies during this global health crisis.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analysis of the implementation of policies during the COVID-19 pandemic, the following recommendations can be made:

- Consistency and coordination: Policy-makers at all levels should work to establish consistent and coordinated policies, both within and across countries, to ensure that individuals and communities are able to navigate the measures taken to control the spread of the virus.
- Vulnerable communities: Policies should take into account the impact of measures on vulnerable communities and individuals, and ensure that they are protected and supported, including access to essential services, healthcare, and food.
- Economic recovery: Policies should aim to support economic recovery, particularly for low-income communities and individuals, and minimize the long-term impact of the pandemic on the economy.
- Effective communication: Policy-makers should prioritize clear and transparent communication, to build public trust and support for the policies implemented, and ensure that individuals and communities understand and comply with the measures taken.
- Monitoring and evaluation: Policies should be monitored and evaluated regularly, to assess their effectiveness, identify areas for improvement, and ensure that they are adapted as needed to address changing circumstances.



- Scientific evidence: Policy-makers should be guided by the best available scientific evidence, and should work with healthcare organizations, scientists, and experts to ensure that policies are informed by the latest research and understanding of the virus.

In conclusion, the COVID-19 pandemic has presented unprecedented challenges to policy-makers, and the implementation of policies has been a complex and challenging process. By following these recommendations, policy-makers can continue to address the challenges and opportunities for improvement in the implementation of policies during this global health crisis.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- www.ledoritindia.com
- www.ilo.org
- www.hks.harvard.edu
- www.academia.oup.com
- www.brookings.edu
- www.imf.org
- www.ourworldindata.org
- www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov